

FACTORS AFFECTING THE PATHOGENESIS OF  
EIMERIA NECATRIX INFECTIONS IN CHICKENS

A Thesis

Presented to

The Faculty of Graduate Studies

of

The University of Guelph

by

MAJED AHMAD AL-ATTAR

In partial fulfilment of requirements

for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

September, 1981



## ABSTRACT

Eimeria necatrix infection in chickens is accompanied by severe hemorrhagic enteritis, particularly during the maturation of second generation schizonts. Massive heterophil infiltration accompanies the early development of this stage of the life cycle. In addition, crypt epithelial cells harbouring second generation schizonts undergo morphological and functional changes such as, loss of microvilli, and the acquiring of phagocytic activity. Infected cells produce a large amount of a diffusible antigen which cross-reacts with antigens of oocysts and second generation merozoites. It is possible that such antigen(s) plays a role in inflammation.

Peripheral blood leucocyte response was studied in relation to the dose of oocysts inoculated. Eosinophilia was observed during the development of the sexual stages. Eosinophilia is rarely reported in coccidial infections.

Intraperitoneal injection of several individual irritants was found to prolong the prepatent period of E. necatrix. However, BCG and Propionibacterium acnes did not protect chickens against E. necatrix infection. The prolongation of the life cycle of E. necatrix was related to the effect of the intraperitoneal injection on the transport of merozoites from villous epithelial cells to the crypt. Electron microscopic examination revealed that sporozoites were transported by intraepithelial lymphocytes and not by macrophages as previously reported.



To: My wife Raja for her patience and support,  
Ahmad, Hyder and my parents.



## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I wish to express my sincere thanks to my supervisor, Dr. M.A. Fernando for her valuable contribution and constant interest and help in this work. I wish to express my thanks to my supervisory committee, especially Dr. B. McCraw. Thanks are extended to Dr. V.E.O. Valli, Dr. R.J. Julian and Dr. D. Befus, External Examiner, for their comments on the manuscript.

Also, I wish to extend my sincere thanks to Mr. Orvar Remmler and Mrs. Carol Skene for their excellent technical assistance throughout the study as well as teaching me many techniques related to coccidial research and electron microscopy. Many thanks are also expressed to Miss Sandra Brown and Miss Jean Middlemiss for spending many hours typing the manuscript. There are many people in this department that I would like to thank, especially Mr. Ted Eaton and Mr. Ryan van Vliet for their help in photography. A sincere thanks to Mr. Greville Bowles and to all the graduate students I met in this department for their help, advice and scientific discussion during the period of my study.

The scholarship and the full support of the Iraqi Government is gratefully acknowledged. This project was supported by the Ontario Ministry of Agriculture and Food and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
CHAPTER ONE .....	1
GENERAL INTRODUCTION .....	1
LITERATURE REVIEW .....	4
Avian Coccidia .....	5
Eimeria Species Infecting Chickens .....	5
Factors Influencing Pathogenicity .....	12
Pathogenitic Mechanisms Operating in Coccidial Infections .....	25
Immune Responses to Eimeria .....	37
GENERAL MATERIALS AND METHODS .....	55
Experimental Animals .....	55
<u>Eimeria necatrix</u> .....	55
Histological Techniques .....	59
Hematological Procedures .....	63
CHAPTER TWO - PATHOLOGICAL CHANGES ASSOCIATED WITH <u>EIMERIA NECATRIX</u> INFECTION .....	65
INTRODUCTION .....	65
MATERIALS AND METHODS .....	68
RESULTS .....	76
DISCUSSION .....	107
CHAPTER THREE - EFFECTS OF INTRAPERITONEAL INJECTION OF IRRITANTS AND NON-SPECIFIC STIMULATION OF THE IMMUNE RESPONSE ON <u>EIMERIA NECATRIX</u> INFECTIONS .....	113
INTRODUCTION .....	113
MATERIALS AND METHODS .....	115



	<u>Page</u>
DISCUSSION .....	164
CHAPTER FOUR - DIFFUSABLE ANTIGEN(S) PRODUCED BY SECOND GENERATION SCHIZONTS OF <u>EIMERIA</u> <u>NECATRIX</u> .....	169
INTRODUCTION .....	169
MATERIAL AND METHODS .....	172
RESULTS .....	179
DISCUSSION .....	185
GENERAL SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS .....	187
REFERENCES .....	189
APPENDIX .....	224



## DETAILED TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
CHAPTER ONE .....	1
GENERAL INTRODUCTION .....	1
LITERATURE REVIEW .....	4
Avian Coccidia .....	5
Eimeria Species Infecting Chickens .....	5
<u>Eimeria necatrix</u> .....	6
i. Oocyst morphology .....	6
ii. Life cycle .....	6
a) Endogenous development .....	6
b) Exogenous development .....	8
iii. Clinical signs .....	9
iv. Macroscopic lesions .....	9
v. Microscopic changes .....	11
Factors Influencing Pathogenicity .....	12
1. Oocyst dose .....	13
2. Size and site of endogenous stages .....	14
3. Virulence and viability of oocyst .....	16
4. Host factors .....	18
i. Age .....	18
ii. Breed and sex .....	20
5. Gut flora .....	22
6. Other factors .....	24
Pathogenetic Mechanisms Operating in Coccidial Infections .....	25
1. Cytopathology .....	25
2. Enteropathology .....	



# DETAILED TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
CHAPTER ONE .....	1
GENERAL INTRODUCTION .....	1
LITERATURE REVIEW .....	4
Avian Coccidia .....	5
Eimeria Species Infecting Chickens .....	5
<u>Eimeria necatrix</u> .....	6
i. Oocyst morphology .....	6
ii. Life cycle .....	6
a) Endogenous development .....	6
b) Exogenous development .....	8
iii. Clinical signs .....	9
iv. Macroscopic lesions .....	9
v. Microscopic changes .....	11
Factors Influencing Pathogenicity .....	12
1. Oocyst dose .....	13
2. Size and site of endogenous stages .....	14
3. Virulence and viability of oocyst .....	16
4. Host factors .....	18
i. Age .....	18
ii. Breed and sex .....	20
5. Gut flora .....	22
6. Other factors .....	24
Pathogenetic Mechanisms Operating in Coccidial Infections .....	25
1. Cytopathology .....	25
2. Enteropathology .....	26
3. Extra-intestinal effects .....	32



	<u>Page</u>
Immune Responses to Eimeria .....	37
1. Immunogenicity .....	38
i. Immunizing properties .....	38
ii. Specificity .....	40
iii. Immunogenicity of endogenous stages .....	41
iv. Antigens .....	42
2. Factors affecting host immune response .....	44
i. Number of oocysts .....	44
ii. Mode of oocyst inoculation .....	45
iii. Immunosuppression by other infections .....	46
3. Effect of immune response on the parasite .....	47
4. Effector mechanisms .....	48
i. Specific antibodies .....	48
ii. Cell-mediated immunity .....	51
GENERAL MATERIALS AND METHODS .....	55
Experimental Animals .....	55
<u>Eimeria necatrix</u> .....	55
1. Strain .....	55
2. Stock culture .....	56
3. Total daily oocyst production .....	56
4. Intestinal lesion scores .....	58
Histological Techniques .....	59
1. Light microscopy .....	59
2. Electron microscopy .....	59
i. Fixation .....	59
ii. Dehydration .....	61



	<u>Page</u>
iii. Embedding .....	61
iv. Sectioning of embedded tissue .....	62
Hematological Procedures .....	63
1. New methylene blue stain .....	63
2. Total and differential leucocyte counts .....	64
CHAPTER TWO - PATHOLOGICAL CHANGES ASSOCIATED WITH <u>EIMERIA NECATRIX</u> - ROLE OF LEUCOCYTES IN TISSUE DAMAGE .....	65
INTRODUCTION .....	65
MATERIALS AND METHODS .....	68
1. Macroscopic, light and electron microscopic changes ..	68
2. Peripheral leucocyte response .....	68
3. Isolation of heterophils from peritoneal exudate .....	69
i. Experimental peritonitis .....	69
ii. Percoll gradient .....	69
4. Production of anti-heterophil serum in rabbits .....	70
5. Absorption of rabbit anti-heterophil serum .....	70
i. Preparation of chicken red blood cells .....	70
ii. Preparation of heterophil free peritoneal exudate cells .....	71
iii. Preparation of lymphocytes from thymus and bursa .	71
iv. Preparation of polymerized chicken plasma proteins	71
v. Preparation of acetone treated tissue .....	72
vi. Anti-heterophil serum absorption .....	72
6. Preparation of tissue antigens for double immuno- diffusion test .....	73
7. Titration of anti-heterophil serum .....	74
i. Cytotoxicity assay .....	74
ii. Agglutination assay .....	74



	<u>Page</u>
8. Experimental design for <u>in vivo</u> use of anti-heterophil serum .....	75
RESULTS .....	76
1. Lesions associated with the development of first and second generation schizonts .....	76
2. Peripheral leucocyte response .....	78
3. Isolation of heterophils .....	80
4. Titration of rabbit anti-chicken heterophil serum ....	81
5. <u>In vivo</u> use of anti-heterophil serum .....	82
DISCUSSION .....	107
CHAPTER THREE - EFFECTS OF INTRAPERITONEAL INJECTION OF IRRITANTS AND NON-SPECIFIC STIMULATION OF THE IMMUNE RESPONSE ON <u>EIMERIA</u> <u>NECATRIX</u> INFECTIONS ..	113
INTRODUCTION .....	113
MATERIALS AND METHODS .....	115
1. Preparation and injections of irritants .....	115
2. Preparation of <u>Propionibacterium acnes</u> vaccine .....	116
3. Bacillus Calmette Guerin (BCG) .....	116
4. Toxic silica .....	116
5. Effect of irritant injections on lesion severity and blood leucocytes .....	117
6. Effect of intraperitoneal injection of proteose peptone on oocyst production and mortality distribution .....	119
7. Effect of intraperitoneal injection of proteose peptone on the development of first and second generations schizonts .....	121
8. Effect of BCG on <u>Propionibacterium acnes</u> injection on lesion severity .....	124
RESULTS .....	125
1. Effect of i.p. injection of irritants on lesion severity and blood leucocytes .....	126



2. Effect of i.p. injection of proteose peptone on oocyst production and mortality distribution .....	126
3. Effect of i.p. injection of proteose peptone on the development of first and second generation schizonts .....	128
4. Ultrastructural studies on the type of cell involved in the transport of sporozoites .....	129
5. Effect of BCG and <u>Propionibacterium acnes</u> on lesion severity .....	130
DISCUSSION .....	164
CHAPTER FOUR - DIFFUSABLE ANTIGEN(S) PRODUCED BY SECOND GENERATION SCHIZONTS OF <u>EIMERIA NECATRIX</u> .....	169
INTRODUCTION .....	169
MATERIALS AND METHODS .....	172
1. Preparation of "soluble products" .....	172
2. Ammonium sulphate precipitation of soluble products ..	173
3. Preparation of oocyst antigen(s) .....	173
4. Second generation merozoite purification .....	175
5. Preparation of "anti soluble products in chickens ....	176
6. Production of anti-oocyst serum .....	176
7. Production of anti-Eimeria necatrix serum by oral infection .....	176
8. Double immunodiffusion test (DID) .....	177
9. DID using infected intestinal tissue .....	177
10. Staining of immunodiffusion slides .....	178
11. Fractionation of soluble products .....	179
i. Separation on Sephadex G-200 .....	179
RESULTS .....	179
1. Detection of soluble products .....	179
2. DID using infected intestinal tissue .....	180



	<u>Page</u>
3. Ammonium sulphate precipitation of "soluble products"	180
4. Sephadex G-200 fractionation .....	181
DISCUSSION .....	185
GENERAL SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION .....	187
REFERENCES .....	189
APPENDIX .....	224



# LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
1-1	Diagram showing areas of intestine from which tissues were taken for histopathological examination ..	60
2-1	Section of chicken intestine 69 hours after infection with <u>E. necatrix</u> .....	83
2-2	Similar to Fig. 2-1 showing heterophil infiltration on both sides of infected crypt epithelial cells harbouring early second generation schizonts .....	83
2-3	Electron micrograph of early second generation schizonts (70 hours post infection) showing heterophil infiltration .....	84
2-4	Infected goblet cell in an infected crypt (70 hours post infection) .....	85
2-5	Section of infected intestine taken at 69 hours post infection showing different stages of heterophil infiltration toward infected crypts .....	86
2-6	Intestinal section taken from infected chicken at 69 hours post infection showing basophil infiltration .	86
2-7	Intestinal section showing the close location of blood vessels to crypt epithelial cells in an uninfected area .....	87
2-8	Intestinal section taken from a chicken at 69 hours post infection showing engorgement of a blood vessel with leucocytes .....	87
2-9	Infected crypt epithelial cell showing remnants of microvilli .....	88
2-10	A later stage than Fig. 2-9 in the formation of a double cell layered crypt .....	89
2-11	Micrograph showing desmosomes between infected and uninfected cells indicating their epithelial nature .....	90
2-12	Intestinal section taken from a chicken at 69 hours post infection showing many crypt epithelial cells harboring early second generation schizonts .....	91
2-13	Part of an infected crypt epithelial cell outside the crypt basement membrane .....	92



2-14	Infected cell harbouring second generation schizont (120 hours post infection) shows the phagocytic activity of infected cells .....	93
2-15	Mature second generation schizonts (120 hours post infection). Plasma membranes of three infected cells showing many projections and vesicle formation .....	94
2-16	Total leucocyte counts and total daily oocyst output of chickens inoculated with $1 \times 10^3$ oocysts ....	95
2-17	Total leucocyte counts and total daily oocyst output of chickens inoculated with $5 \times 10^3$ oocysts ....	96
2-18	Total leucocyte counts and total daily oocyst output of chickens inoculated with $1 \times 10^4$ oocysts ....	97
2-19	Absolute heterophil numbers in chickens inoculated with $1 \times 10^3$ , $5 \times 10^3$ and $1 \times 10^4$ oocysts compared to uninfected controls .....	98
2-20	Absolute lymphocyte numbers in chickens inoculated with $1 \times 10^3$ , $5 \times 10^3$ and $1 \times 10^4$ oocysts compared to uninfected controls .....	99
2-21	Absolute number of large mononuclear leucocytes in chickens inoculated with $1 \times 10^3$ , $5 \times 10^3$ and $1 \times 10^4$ oocysts compared to uninfected controls .....	100
2-22	Absolute eosinophil numbers of chickens inoculated with $1 \times 10^3$ , $5 \times 10^3$ and $1 \times 10^4$ oocysts compared to uninfected controls .....	101
2-23	Three eosinophils in a blood smear taken from a chicken infected with $1 \times 10^4$ oocysts at 12 days post infection .....	102
2-24	Three heterophils and two eosinophils stained with Wright's stain .....	102
2-25	Absolute basophil numbers in chickens inoculated with $1 \times 10^3$ , $5 \times 10^3$ and $1 \times 10^4$ oocysts compared to uninfected controls .....	103
2-26	Separation of chicken peritoneal heterophils on discontinuous Percoll gradient .....	104
2-27	Double immunodiffusion test of antiheterophil serum against chicken serum, plasma, bursa, thymus, macrophages and heterophil antigens .....	104



<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
2-28	Absolute heterophil numbers in blood of chickens injected intraperitoneally with absorbed anti-heterophil serum .....	105
2-29	Absolute heterophil numbers in blood of chickens injected intravenously with antiheterophil serum .....	106
3-1	The intestine of a chicken infected with $1.5 \times 10^5$ oocysts and injected intraperitoneally with proteose peptone at 5 days post infection .....	132
3-2	Intestinal lesion of a chicken infected with $1.5 \times 10^5$ oocysts but without an intraperitoneal injection of proteose peptone (5 days post infection) .....	132
3-3	Serosal and mucosal lesions of infected chickens, of chickens injected with proteose peptone and uninjected chickens 5 days after infection .....	133
3-4	Pieces of intestine from two infected chickens, one taken from a chicken injected intraperitoneally with proteose peptone and the other from a control .....	133
3-5	Lesion scores of infected chickens injected intraperitoneally with proteose peptone at 0, 24, 48, 72 and 96 hours post infection compared to those of uninjected infected controls .....	134
3-6	Graph showing the mean numbers of total leucocytes counts of injected chickens compared to uninjected controls .....	135
3-7	Graph showing mean values of absolute lymphocyte numbers of infected chickens injected intraperitoneally with proteose peptone at 0, 24, 48, 72 and 96 hours post infection compared to uninjected infected controls .....	136
3-8	Graph showing mean values of absolute lymphocyte numbers of infected chickens injected intraperitoneally with proteose peptone at 0, 24, 48, 72 and 96 hours post infection compared to uninjected infected controls .....	137
3-9	Bone marrow section of an infected chicken given an intraperitoneal injection of proteose peptone and killed at 5 days post infection .....	138
3-10	Bone marrow section of an infected uninjected chicken at 5 days post infection .....	138



3-11	Lesion scores of infected chickens injected intraperitoneally with proteose peptone at 0 or 12 hours post infection compared to those of uninjected infected controls .....	139
3-12	lesion scores of infected chickens injected intraperitoneally with starch, Sephadex and thioglycolate broth at 0, 24, 48, 72 and 96 hours post infection compared to those of uninjected infected control .....	140
3-13	Mean daily oocysts output of chickens given an intraperitoneal injection of proteose peptone compared to that of uninjected controls. Inoculation dose: 30,000 oocysts .....	141
3-14	Mean daily oocyst output of chickens given an intraperitoneal injection of proteose peptone compared to that of uninjected infected controls. Inoculation dose: 1,000 oocysts .....	143
3-15	Intestinal section taken from a chicken at 6 hours post infection showing two sporozoites between villous epithelial cells .....	146
3-16	Intestinal section taken from a chicken at 18 hours post infection showing one sporozoite near crypt lumen .....	146
3-17	Sporozoite in villous epithelial cell at 3 hours post infection .....	147
3-18	Higher magnification of Figure 3-17 to show parasitophorous vacuole and sporozoite ultra-structure .....	148
3-19	Sporozoite in villous epithelial cell near villar lamina propria at 6 hours post infection .....	149
3-20	Higher magnification of Figure 4-20 to show details of sporozoite, parasitophorous vacuole and the nearby intraepithelial lymphocyte .....	150
3-21	Sporozoite in intraepithelial lymphocyte between two villous epithelial cells near villar lamina propria at 6 hours post infection .....	151
3-22	Sporozoite in intraepithelial lymphocyte between villous epithelial cells at 6 hours post infection showing the irregularity of its plasma membrane and the cytoplasmic granule of these cells .....	152
3-23	Sporozoite in intraepithelial lymphocyte in the lower third of the villous lamina propria at 12 hours post infection .....	153



3-11	Lesion scores of infected chickens injected intraperitoneally with proteose peptone at 0 or 12 hours post infection compared to those of uninjected infected controls .....	139
3-12	lesion scores of infected chickens injected intraperitoneally with starch, Sephadex and thioglycolate broth at 0, 24, 48, 72 and 96 hours post infection compared to those of uninjected infected control .....	140
3-13	Mean daily oocysts output of chickens given an intraperitoneal injection of proteose peptone compared to that of uninjected controls. Inoculation dose: 30,000 oocysts .....	141
3-14	Mean daily oocyst output of chickens given an intraperitoneal injection of proteose peptone compared to that of uninjected infected controls. Inoculation dose: 1,000 oocysts .....	143
3-15	Intestinal section taken from a chicken at 6 hours post infection showing two sporozoites between villous epithelial cells .....	146
3-16	Intestinal section taken from a chicken at 18 hours post infection showing one sporozoite near crypt lumen .....	146
3-17	Sporozoite in villous epithelial cell at 3 hours post infection .....	147
3-18	Higher magnification of Figure 3-17 to show parasitophorous vacuole and sporozoite ultra-structure .....	148
3-19	Sporozoite in villous epithelial cell near villar lamina propria at 6 hours post infection .....	149
3-20	Higher magnification of Figure 4-20 to show details of sporozoite, parasitophorous vacuole and the nearby intraepithelial lymphocyte .....	150
3-21	Sporozoite in intraepithelial lymphocyte between two villous epithelial cells near villar lamina propria at 6 hours post infection .....	151
3-22	Sporozoite in intraepithelial lymphocyte between villous epithelial cells at 6 hours post infection showing the irregularity of its plasma membrane and the cytoplasmic granule of these cells .....	152
3-23	Sporozoite in intraepithelial lymphocyte in the lower third of the villous lamina propria at 12 hours post infection .....	153



3-24	Sporooite in intraepithelial lymphocyte between crypt epithelial cells at 18 hours post infection .....	154
3-25	Higher magnification of Figure 4-27 to show the typical ultrastructure of sporozoite at 18 hours post infection .....	155
3-26	Three sporozoites, one of them is in an intra-epithelial lymphocyte while the other two are in crypt epithelial cells (24 hours post infection) .....	156
3-27	higher magnification of Figure 3-26 to show the epithelial nature of parasitized cells as demonstrated by the presence of desmosome between the two infected crypt epithelial cells .....	157
3-28	Three uninfected normal intraepithelial lymphocytes between villous epithelial cells, showing the characteristic structure and pleomorphism of this cell .....	158
3-29	Lesion score of infected chickens that received an intraperitoneal injection of silica, proteose peptone and a combination of silica and proteose peptone at time of infection, on lesion severity of infected chickens compared to uninjected controls ...	159
3-30	Electron micrograph of pelleted peritoneal exudate cells taken 12 hours after intraperitoneal injection of proteose peptone .....	160
3-31	Electron micrograph of pelleted peritoneal exudate cells taken 24 hours after intraperitoneal injection of proteose peptone .....	161
3-32	Electron micrograph of pelleted peritoneal exudate cells taken 48 hours after intraperitoneal injection of proteose peptone .....	162
4-1	Double immunodiffusion test. Precipitation lines developed between soluble antigen of second generation schizont, antioocyst and antiserum prepared from orally infected chickens that received the intraperitoneal injection of adjuvant .....	182
4-2	Double immunodiffusion test. Precipitation lines developed between antioocyst serum and soluble antigen, oocyst and second generation merozoite antigens .....	183



4-3	Double immunodiffusion test using infected and uninfected intestinal tissues against antioocyst serum, soluble antigen .....	183
4-4	Chromatographic separation of soluble antigen of second generation schzont on Sephadex G-200 .....	184



# LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
3-1	Effect of Intraperitoneal Injection of Proteose Peptone on Daily Oocyst Production of Chickens Infected with $3 \times 10^4$ Oocysts .....	142
3-2	Effect of Intraperitoneal Injection of Proteose Peptone on Daily Oocyst Production of Chickens Infected with $1 \times 10^3$ Oocysts .....	144
3-3	Mean Numbers of First and Second Generation Schizonts Found in Intestinal Sections of Chickens Infected with $1.4 \times 10^5$ Oocysts and Injected Intraperitoneally with Proteose Peptone at Different Times Post-Infection .....	145
3-4	Mean Lesion Scores of Chickens Injected with the Various Agents at Different Times and Routes Prior to Infection .....	163